

Addressing Spending Trends in Massachusetts

Paul B. Ginsburg, Ph.D.

Testimony before the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, March 18, 2010



Valuable Massachusetts Data and Analysis from DHCFP and AG

- Richer than national data
- Shows price to be a key factor in recent trend
- Utilization contributes to trend as well
- Large variation in prices across providers
 - Reflection of market leverage





What Drives Provider Prices? (1)

- Absence of demand-side restraints
 - Extensive third-party payment
 - Purchaser demands for broad choice of providers
 - Limited interest in narrower networks where offered
 - "Must-have" providers face little risk of network exclusion
 - Benefit structures provide few patient incentives to choose low-priced providers
 - Little use of tiering for hospitals/physicians
 - GIC a pioneer in this approach
 - Promising initiative from BCBSMA





What Drives Provider Prices? (2)

- Supply-side issues can be important
 - Degree of excess capacity
 - Degree of provider consolidation
 - Extent of hospital employment/alignment of physicians





Recent National Trend of Growing Provider Leverage

- Trends in supply-side factors increasing market power
 - Greater hospital employment of physicians
 - Increasing consolidation and tighter capacity
 - Medicaid cuts lead to providers increasing use of their leverage to shift costs to private insurers





Recent Trend of Growing Provider Leverage cont.

- MedPAC analysis of Medicare margins, overall margins, costs
 - Medicare fixed payments not constraining costs at strong hospitals





Addressing Rising Prices

- Market and regulatory approaches
 - Not mutually exclusive
 - Regulation could incorporate market forces
 - History in U.S. is reluctance to pursue either
 - Exception is use of administered prices by public payers instead of passive methods to set prices





The Market Approach

- Insurance benefit structures that incent provider choice
 - Example: Vary hospital copay or deductible according to provider chosen
 - Ultimate design is reference pricing
 - Patient pays the difference from low-cost provider





Such Benefit Structures Rare

- CDHP designs include only limited providerchoice incentives
 - Large deductible does not impact inpatient care
 - Some incentives for outpatient tests/procedures
- Tax treatment of health insurance blunts incentives for such designs
- Tiered networks limited by data and by hospital resistance





Role of Price Transparency in Market Approaches

- Under universal coverage, insurer is ideal data source for consumers
 - Focus on provider differences in cost to patients
 - Relevant only with incentives to choose low-cost providers





Role of Price Transparency in Market Approaches cont.

- Unpredictable impact of government posting of negotiated prices
 - Potential constraint of dominant providers through public pressure
 - Potential for higher prices if providers know competitors' prices
 - Extensively documented in other industries





The Regulatory Approach

- Rate setting applicable to private payers
 - Addresses provider leverage issues
 - Potential to lead reform of provider payment
 - Set payment methods for all to use
 - Opportunity for patient incentives to address remaining provider price differences





Rate Setting Challenging to Do Well

- High degree of sophistication needed
 - Current contracting recognizes measured quality and utilization differences
- Governance structure is critical
 - Independence of Maryland Commission a key factor in its long-term success
- Unlikely to achieve large short-term gains in an industry with low margins





Importance of Provider Payment Reform

- Service volume key component of spending trends
- Need for broader payment units covering multiple providers
 - More meaningful units to price
- Key to both market and regulatory approaches
- Massachusetts path to global payment





Importance of Provider Payment Reform contd.

- Range of large and small steps for reform
 - New versions of capitation
 - BCBSMA Alternative Quality Contract
 - Accountable care organizations
 - Per-episode payment for selected episodes
 - Payment to medical homes
 - Incorporate post-acute care into hospital payment
 - Incentives to reduce hospital readmissions





Observation from Interviews

- Theme of our Boston visit was focus on controlling costs
- Boston providers anticipating greater accountability for spending as well as quality
 - Efforts to increase efficiency already underway
 - Reports that AQC contracts spurring changes





Leadership in Provider Payment Reform

- Private payer experimentation
- Potential for Medicare reform
- State development and prescription of payment methods
 - Seek Medicare waiver
- Potential for all-payer rate setting system to lead payment reform





Conclusion

- Great deal at stake in slowing spending trends
- Price and quantity both deserve attention
- Reform of provider payment methods key to substantial "bending the curve"
- Market and regulatory elements can work together



